TO: Mayor Montgomery and City Council

FROM: Jeffrey L. McCormick, Chief of Police

SUBJECT: Body Worn Camera Audits Result

DATE: August 30, 2023

BACKGROUND

The Cannon Falls Police Department has had Body Worn Cameras (BWC) since 2011. The legislature passed a law in 2016 that classified most BWC data private and required that law enforcement agencies with BWCs conduct independent audits of their BWC program.

Minnesota Statute 13.825 Subdivision 9 requires what is to be reviewed during the BWC Independent Audit:

- to verify compliance with Minnesota State Statute 18.825.
- to verify compliance with Minnesota State Statute 626.8473

After the September 2020 BWC audit the police department switched to a new BWC system in May 2021 that has a management software program system, as was recommended by the 2020 BWC audit. That meant that the police department had two separate BWC systems, the new WatchGuard system and the legacy Provision system. Only the new WatchGuard BWC system was collecting new data after the transition. The data in the ProVision data was monitored and allowed to purge all data over time as provided by the retention schedule since no new data was being added.

The ProVision system audit was requested in November 2022 when it was believed the data had purged out and has been completed. The ProVision audit found the police department was in compliance and recommended the removal of all legacy ProVision BWC hardware from CFPD inventory.

The Watchguard Audit was requested in May 2023 and has been completed. The WatchGuard audit only covered that system which has been the only BWC system in use by the police department since the transition and the police department use was found to be in compliance.

Statute 13.825 Subd 9 (b) requires the governing body with jurisdiction over the budget of the law enforcement agency shall review the results of BWC audits. Both independent BWC audits found that the Cannon Falls Police Department BWC program has been and is being operated in compliance with the requirements of Minnesota Statute 13.825 and 626.8473. The independent audit reports and the current department BWC policy are attached for reference.

REQUESTED COUNCIL ACTION

Motion and approval that the Cannon Falls City Council has reviewed the audits and find that the Cannon Falls Police Department BWC program is being operated in compliance with the requirements of Minnesota Statute 13.825 and 626.8473.

Audit of Cannon Falls Police Department Body Worn Cameras November, 2022

The audit found the BWC program is effective and operating as originally intended. Cannon Falls Police Department (CFPD) successfully implemented the use of BWC at a time when there was little direction from the state. Since the initial implementation of BWCs, CFPD has moved to a more robust and automated system. The new system has several advantages;

Enhanced Transparency: One of the most significant advantages of Watchguard body worn cameras is that they can enhance transparency in law enforcement operations.

Increased Accountability: Body worn cameras can also increase accountability for law enforcement officers.

Improved Officer Safety: Body worn cameras can also help improve officer safety. By recording interactions with the public, officers can gather evidence that may be helpful in the event of a physical altercation or other incident.

Better Evidence Collection: Body worn cameras can provide valuable evidence in criminal investigations

Enhanced Training: Body worn cameras can be used as a training tool for law enforcement officers.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	2
Background	4
Objective, Scope and Approach	5
Audit Results and Recommendations	6
State Statute regarding BWC broken down	7
Policy 3-18 POLICE BODY WORN CAMERA	12

The audit was ordered for the time period September 2020 to November 2022 and covers the ProVision body cameras and data, as the Cannon Falls Police Department switched to WatchGuard body cameras in May of 2021, and this system has not had new data added since that switch.

Cannon Falls Police department began using BWC in 2011. During the time period cover by this audit, the department shifted from the original legacy application to an updated system called Watchguard.

The legacy system data stored on network attached storage device

The department has 17 ProVision body cameras and 12 ProVision functional cameras and were assigned to Officers by badge#. BWC video is stored on the internal city network. A network attached storage device had all raw footage from the BWCs. CFPD policy dictates when footage should be uploaded.

Current storage for Provision BWCs is zero, as all files have timed out of retention since no new data is being added. Files had been stored by badge number and date.

Objective, Scope and Approach

Objective

The objective of the audit was to determine whether ProVision body worn cameras and respective programs were being used and executed in accordance with statutes and policies, and were adequately designed, administered and monitored.

A review of compliance with Minnesota Statutes was part of this audit.

Scope

The scope included:

- CFPD policies on BWC use and resulting data storage and use.
- CFPD training process.
- Hardware and software used by CFPD in its ProVision BWC program.
- Data generated by ProVision BWCs.

Approach

To accomplish audit objectives, Internal Audit:

- Reviewed Minnesota statutes.
- Reviewed CFPD policy and training process.
- Discussed program functionality with CFPD staff.
- Discussed ProVision BWC software functionality with staff.
- Evaluated data access controls.

Audit results

CFPD use of Provision BWCs is in compliance with state statutes. The use of city policy is the prime tool for maintaining this compliance.

Audit Recommendations

Removal of all legacy ProVision BWC hardware from CFPD inventory.

State Statute regarding BWC broken down

13.825	Data collected by a portable recording system are	Deviewed the DWC	In compliance
Subd 2 (a)	Data collected by a portable recording system are private data on individuals or nonpublic data.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (1)	Data that document the discharge of a firearm by a peace officer in the course of duty other than during training and the killing of an animal that is sick, injured, or dangerous (section 626.553 Subd. 2) are public.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (1)	Data that document the use of force by an officer that results in substantial bodily harm (bodily injury which involves a temporary but substantial disfigurement, or which causes a temporary but substantial loss or impairment of the function of any bodily member or organ, or which causes a fracture of any bodily member), are public.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (2)	Data are public if a subject of the data requests it be made accessible to the public.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (2) i	Data on a subject who is not a peace officer and who does not consent to the release must be redacted.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (2) ii	Data on a peace officer whose identity is protected (undercover law enforcement officer) must be redacted.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (3)	Portable recording system data that are active criminal investigative are confidential or protected nonpublic and governed the Criminal Investigative Data statute (section 13.82, subdivision 7).	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (3)	Portable recording system data that are inactive criminal investigative data are public as governed by this data classification section.	Reviewed the BWC policy and identified the current policy does cover data classification for inactive criminal investigations, as required per legislative requirements.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (4)	Data is public regarding the final disposition of any disciplinary action together with the specific reasons for the action and data documenting the basis of the action, excluding data that would identify confidential sources who are employees of the public body.	Reviewed the BWC policy and identified the current policy does cover data classification for disciplinary action.	In compliance

13.825 Subd 2 (a) (5)	Data that are not public data under other provisions of this chapter retain that classification.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (5) (b)	A law enforcement agency may redact or withhold access to portions of data that are public under this subdivision if those portions of data are clearly offensive to common sensibilities.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (5) (c)	Tennessen warning (Section 13.04, subdivision 2) does not apply to collection of data classified by this subdivision.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
3.825 Subd 2 (a) 5) (d)	The person bringing the action to challenge a determination to withhold access to portion of data must give notice of the action to the law enforcement agency and subjects of the data, if known.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (5) (d)	The law enforcement agency must give notice to other subjects of the data, if known, who did not receive the notice from the person bringing the action	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 2 (a) (5) (d)	The right of a defendant in a criminal proceeding to obtain access to portable recording system data under the Rules of Criminal Procedure is not affected by section related to withholding access or redacting portion of data that is clearly offensive to common sensibilities.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 3 (a)	Body cam data that are not active or inactive criminal investigative data must be retained for at least 90 days.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 3 (b)	Body cam data must be destroyed according to the agency's record retention schedule approved pursuant to section 138.17 (retention schedule approved by the head of the governmental unit or agency having custody of the records and the MN Records Disposition Panel)	Evaluated the BWC policy and confirmed data is destroyed per CJIS standards once retention schedule is met.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 3 (b)	Body cam data must be retained for at least one year if they document an incident where an officer discharges a firearm in the course of duty other than the exceptions noted in section 626.553 Subd 2 (training and the killing of an animal that is sick, injured, or dangerous,)	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 3 (1)	Body cam data must be retained for at least one year if they document the use of force by an officer that results in substantial bodily harm.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 3 (2)	Body cam data must be retained for at least one year if a formal complaint is made against an officer related to an incident.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 3 (c)	If a subject of the data submits a written request to retain the recording, the data must be retained for the time period requested, of up to an additional 180 days beyond the applicable retention period.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance

13.825 Subd 3 (c)	The law enforcement agency shall notify the requester that the recording will be destroyed when the requested time elapsed unless a new request is made.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 3 (d)	A government entity may retain a recording for as long as reasonably necessary for possible evidentiary or exculpatory use related to the incident with respect to which the data were collected.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 4 (b)	An individual who is the subject of portable recording system data can have access to the data, including data on other individuals who are the subject of the recording.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 4 (b)	If the individual requests a copy of the recording, data on other individuals who do not consent to its release must be redacted from the copy.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 4 (b)	The identity and activities of an on-duty peace officer engaged in an investigation or response to an emergency, incident, or request for service may not be redacted, unless the officer's identity is subject to protection under section 13.82, subdivision 17, clause (a) (when access to the data would reveal the identity of an undercover law enforcement officer).	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 5	A law enforcement agency that uses a portable recording system must maintain the following information, which is public data: (1) the total number of recording devices owned or maintained by the agency; (2) a daily record of the total number of recording devices actually deployed and used by officers and, if applicable, the precincts in which they were used; (3) the policies and procedures for use of portable recording systems required by section 626.8473; and (4) the total amount of recorded audio and video data collected by the portable recording system and maintained by the agency, the agency's retention schedule for the data, and the agency's procedures for destruction of the data.	Reviewed and confirmed the total number of recording devices owned by the agency, deployed and used by officers, and the total amount of data collected by the portable recording devices and maintained by the agency are all reportable.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 7 (a)	The chief officer of every state and local law enforcement agency that uses or proposes to use a portable recording system must establish and enforce a written policy governing its use.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
Portable Recording Systems Adoption; Written Policy Required	The written policy must be posted on the agency's Web site, if the agency has a Web site.	Confirmed the BWC policy is available on the Cannon Falls Police Department's website.	In compliance

13.825 Subd 6	While on duty, a peace officer may only use a portable recording system issued and maintained by the officer's agency in documenting the officer's activities.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 7 (b)	The responsible authority for a law enforcement agency must establish written procedures to ensure that law enforcement personnel have access to the portable recording system data that are not public only if authorized in writing by the chief of police, sheriff, or head of the law enforcement agency, or their designee, to obtain access to the data for a legitimate, specified law enforcement purpose.	Reviewed the Access control procedure for the CFPD BWC program and confirmed the documented procedures sufficiently meet the requirements defined in the legislation.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 8 (a)	Portable recording system data that are not public may only be shared with or disseminated to another law enforcement agency, a government entity, or a federal agency upon meeting the standards for requesting access to data as provided in subdivision 7	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 8 (b)	If data collected by a portable recording system are shared with another state or local law enforcement agency under this subdivision, the agency that receives the data must comply with all data classification, destruction, and security requirements of this section.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 8 (c)	Portable recording system data may not be shared with, disseminated to, sold to, or traded with any other individual or entity unless explicitly authorized by this section or other applicable law.	Reviewed the BWC policy.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 9 (a)	A law enforcement agency must maintain records showing the date and time portable recording system data were collected and the applicable classification of the data.	Reviewed the exported the body camera logs to satisfy legislative requirements.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 11 (a)	Within ten days of obtaining new surveillance technology that expands the type or scope of surveillance capability of a portable recording system device beyond video or audio recording, a law enforcement agency must notify the Bureau of Criminal Apprehension that it has obtained the new surveillance technology	Confirmed no other new surveillance technologies, beyond current capability.	In compliance
13.825 Subd 11 (b)	The notice must include a description of the technology and its surveillance capability and intended uses. The notices are accessible to the public and must be available on the bureau's Web site.	Confirmed no other new surveillance technologies, beyond current capability.	In compliance

626.8473 Subd 2	Section 626.8473 requires a law enforcement agency to allow for public comment and to create written policies and procedures before it purchases body cams or implements a body cam program. Such policies and procedures must be in place by January 15, 2017.	Reviewed documentation and confirmed a meeting for public comment input took place on December 6, 2016.	In compliance
626.8473 Subd 3	At a minimum, the written policy must incorporate the following:(1) the requirements of section 13.825 and other data classifications, access procedures, retention policies, and data security safeguards that, at a minimum, meet the requirements of chapter 13 and other applicable law;(2) procedures for testing the portable recording system to ensure adequate functioning;(3) procedures to address a system malfunction or failure, including requirements for documentation by the officer using the system at the time of a malfunction or failure;(4) circumstances under which recording is mandatory, prohibited, or at the discretion of the officer using the system;(5) circumstances under which a data subject must be given notice of a recording;(6) circumstances under which a recording may be ended while an investigation, response, or incident is ongoing;(7) procedures for the secure storage of portable recording system data and the creation of backup copies of the data;	Reviewed BWC documentation and confirmed the following requirements were present and complete in the written policy, procedure	In compliance
626.8473 Subd 3 (8)	Procedures to ensure compliance and address violations of the policy, which must include the employee discipline standards for unauthorized access to data contained in section 13.09.	Reviewed the BWC policy and confirmed the current policy does mention disciplinary actions.	In compliance

CANNON FALLS POLICE DEPARTMENT

SECTION 3-18 SUBJECT POLICE BODY WORN CAMERA

INDEX

- 3-18.1 DEFINITIONS
- 3-18.2 TRAINING
- 3-18.3 USAGE
- 3-18.4 ACTIVATION/DEACTIVATION
- 3-18.5 SPECIAL GUIDELINES OF RECORDING
- 3-18.6 DOWNLOADING / LABELING RECORDINGS
- 3-18.7 DATA STORAGE MEDIA CONTROL AND MANAGEMENT
- 3-18.8 DATA RELEASE REQUESTS
- 3-18.9 AGENCY USE OF DATA
- 3-18.10 AUDITS
- 3-18.11 VIOLATION OF POLICY

POLICY

This agency recognizes that Police Body Worn Cameras (BWC) is an effective law enforcement tool. Therefore, the policy of this agency will be to utilize BWC technology in a manner that enhances accountability and transparency for all involved in a police and citizen interaction. This policy does not apply to other police video recording equipment, which is covered by policy 3-5. BWC is only a slice of what was occurring at a given time and is a two dimensional representation of a three dimensional event. The BWC may not record all the information that was seen or heard by those involved in the event and is only one part of the documentation of an event where a full understanding of what occurred is needed.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this policy is to clearly establish for agency personnel the proper use of BWC

technology to achieve the following:

- The primary purpose is to provide evidence collection of events, actions, conditions, and statements made during arrests, critical incidents, and other law enforcement activities.
- To enhance the agency's ability to provide accountability and transparency of officer and citizen interactions.
- To evaluate the performance of officers and to assist in training.

SCOPE

This policy applies to all sworn personnel and those civilian personnel assigned the responsibility of handling digital evidence or information releases.

STANDARDS

3-18.1 DEFINITIONS

<u>Body Worn Camera (BWC)</u> – A camera system that is worn on an individual officer's person that records and stores audio and video data.

<u>Data Subject</u> – The image or voice of any person recorded by a BWC, except of the officer wearing the BWC that captured the data.

<u>Data Transfer</u> – The movement of digital data from a BWC device to the agency digital evidence storage location.

<u>Digital Evidence</u> – Digital data files from PVRE including BV, ICV, BWC or any other agency device capable of capturing audio, video, photographs and stored in a digital format that have an evidentiary value.

<u>Evidentiary Value</u> – Information that may be useful as proof in a criminal prosecution and related civil or administrative proceeding, further investigation of an actual or suspected criminal act, or in considering an allegation against a law enforcement agency or officer.

<u>General Citizen Contact</u> – A formal or informal encounter between an officer and person(s) that does not have an evidentiary value. Examples including, but not limited to: assisting a motorist with directions, answering general questions or receiving generalized concerns from a citizen about crime trends in his or her neighborhood.

Minnesota Government Data Practices Act (MGDPA) – Refers to Minnesota Statute 13.01, et seq.

<u>Non-general Citizen Contact</u> – Means an officers encounter with a person(s) that becomes confrontational, during which at least one person expresses anger, resentment, or hostility toward the other, or at least one person directs toward the other verbal conduct consisting of arguing, threatening, challenging, swearing, yelling, or shouting. Encounters in which a citizen demands to be recorded or initiates recording on his or her own are deemed adversarial.

Police Video Recording Equipment (PVRE) – Equipment used to record video with or without audio.

<u>Unintentionally recorded footage</u> – Is a video recording that result from an officer's inadvertence or neglect in operating the officer's PVRE, provided that no portion of the resulting recording has evidentiary or administrative value. Examples include, but are not limited to, recordings made in agency locker rooms, restrooms, and recordings made while officers were engaged in conversations of a non-business or personal nature with the expectation that the conversation was not being recorded.

3-18.2 TRAINING

The agency shall provide all employees responsible for the operation, handling and management

of the BWC equipment and data files with training to ensure compliance with this policy.

3-18.3 USAGE

Officers shall only use department approved/issued BWC in the performance of official duties for this agency or when otherwise performing authorized law enforcement services as an employee of this department.

Officers assigned a patrol shift will utilize a BWC during their work shift. Officers at the beginning of their shift shall determine if the BWC equipment issued to them is working correctly. Problems shall be reported to their immediate supervisor. A BWC not working correctly should be placed out of service and a spare BWC or a BWC from another officer should be utilized. The officer using a different BWC shall create a Miscellaneous Officer Action ICR, and include in the blotter which camera is being used

and the shift the officer is working. Officers should ensure the BWC is worn in one of the approved locations to record events.

- A. Approved BWC wear locations:
 - 1. On the vertical button edge of a uniform shirt or outer jacket.
 - 2. On a dedicated tab of a uniform shirt or outer jacket.
 - 3. On a dedicated tab located on outer body armor carrier.
 - 4. On the pocket of an outer body armor carrier.
 - 5. Other location submitted in writing based on specific circumstances to the Chief of Police or Designee with a written approval.

3-18.4 ACTIVATION/DEACTIVATION

- A. Officers should activate their BWCs when anticipating that they will be involved in or witness other officers of this agency involved in a pursuit, Terry stop of a motorist or pedestrian, search, seizure, arrest, use of force, non-general contact, and during other activities likely to yield information having evidentiary value. However, officers need not activate their cameras when it would be unsafe, impossible, or impractical to do so, but such instances of not recording must be documented in the ICR and report, if a report is created.
- B. Officers have discretion to record any police-citizen encounter regardless if the recording would yield information having evidentiary value, unless such recording is otherwise expressly prohibited.
- C. Officers have no affirmative duty to inform people that a BWC is being operated or that they are being recorded.
- D. Once activated, the BWC should continue recording until the conclusion of the event, or until it becomes apparent that additional recording is unlikely to capture information having evidentiary value or if the event would be recorded by another department PVRE system. The officer having charge of a scene shall likewise direct the discontinuance of recording when further recording is unlikely to capture additional information having evidentiary value. If circumstances change, officers will reactivate their cameras as required by this policy to capture information having evidentiary value.
- E. Officers shall not intentionally block the BWC's audio or visual recording functionality to defeat the purposes of this policy. However intentional blocking

is acceptable if utilized to comply with section 3-18.5 B, Special Guidelines for Recording, where a temporary blocking would be more appropriate than stopping and starting the BWC.

- F. Officers shall not activate the BWC during events where undercover officers are known to be present without prior approval from the undercover officers or supervisor.
- G. Notwithstanding any other provision in this policy, officers shall not use their BWCs to record other agency personnel during non-enforcement related activities, such as during pre- and post-shift time in locker rooms, during meal breaks, briefings, meetings or during other private conversations, unless recording is authorized by the Chief or Designee as part of an administrative or criminal investigation.

3-18.5 SPECIAL GUIDELINES OF RECORDING

Officers may, in the exercise of discretion, determine:

- 1. To use their BWC to take recorded statements from persons believed to be victims and witnesses of crimes, and persons suspected of committing crimes, considering the needs of the investigation and the circumstances pertaining to the victim, witness, or suspect.
- 2. To use their BWC to record persons being provided medical care if the subject is aggressive towards others or force may be necessary to allow for providing medical care.
- To use their BWCs when dealing with individuals believed to be experiencing a mental health crisis or event. BWCs shall be activated as necessary to document any use of force, or anticipated need for use of force.
- 4. If officers respond to a health care facility, mental health care facility, detox, juvenile detention center, or adult detention center for a call of assistance, they may record the event as covered under 3-18.5 A., but otherwise should not record in these facilities unless the officer anticipates witnessing a criminal event or being involved in or witnessing aggression or a use-of-force incident.

5. Officers should avoid recording law enforcement restricted data on a BWC that may be in a verbal, written or electronic format. Examples including, but not limited to: computer screen or Driver's Licenses, school or medical information.

3-18.6 DOWNLOADING / LABELING RECORDINGS

- A. Officers should download the BWC at the end of their shift or when it becomes full. Nothing prevents an officer from downloading more frequently.
- B. BWCs will be downloaded in the manner and to location specified during training.
- C. Recordings of known evidentiary value or use of force event or an event the officer believes should be retained longer will be labeled and stored as directed during training. These recordings need to be listed in the officer's written report.
- D. All other recordings shall be stored designated by agency configuration for downloading. Recording will be labeled as designated during training.

3-18.7 DATA STORAGE MEDIA CONTROL AND MANAGEMENT

- A. Data Retention
 - 1. Evidentiary recorded data shall be retained for the period specified in the General Records Retention Schedule for Minnesota Cities. When a particular recording is subject to multiple retention periods, it shall be maintained for the longest applicable retention period.
 - 2. Unintentionally recorded data shall not be retained and will be deleted at the earliest possible time.
 - 3. Non-evidentiary recorded data, or becomes classified as non-evidentiary, shall be retained for a minimum of 90 days following the date of capture.

- 4. If information comes to light indicating that non-evidentiary data has evidentiary value and it has not been deleted, it will be reclassified as evidentiary data and would be subject to that classifications retention schedule.
- 5. BWC recorded data that has a value for training purposes; may be reclassified as training data and subject to section 3-18.9. BWC recordings that are retained for training purposes, which no longer have evidentiary value, may be stored outside of the regularly used server. Such recording are still considered department data and may not be disseminated outside the department without prior approval.
- B. Digital Data Storage
 - 1. Officers shall only use agency designated digital data storage, as approved by the Chief of Police or designee.
 - The City's Information Technology will determine the best method for backing up the data. If that method is an off-site, cloud based system, they will ensure the data is encrypted and meets the requirements of the Criminal Justice Information Services, Policy 5.4 or successor version.
- C. Security/Control of Digital Data
 - Officers shall not intentionally edit, destroy, erase or in any manner alter BWC digital data unless otherwise expressly authorized by the chief or the chief's designee.
 - Upon download from the BWC, digital data will be subject to the same security restrictions and chain of evidence safeguards as any other piece of evidence/property.
 - 3. A copy of any digital data will not be released to a person or agency, other than another criminal justice agency, without prior approval of the Chief of Police or his/her designee.
 - 4. Personally owned devices, including but not limited to computers and mobile devices, shall not be programmed or used to access, view or record BWC digital data, without prior approval from the Chief of Police.

- 5. Access to BWC digital data from city approved devices shall be managed in accordance with established agency and/or city policy.
- 6. Agency personnel may access and view stored BWC data only when there is a business need for doing so, including the need to defend against an allegation of misconduct or substandard performance. Officers may review BWC recorded data of an incident which they recorded, only for the purpose of preparing a report, giving a statement, or providing testimony about the incident.
- Agency personnel are prohibited from accessing BWC data for nonbusiness reasons and from sharing the data for non-law enforcement related purposes, including but not limited to uploading BWC digital data recorded or maintained by this agency onto public and social media websites.
- 8. Officers may display portions of BWC data to witnesses as necessary for purposes of investigation as allowed by Minn. Stat. § 13.82, subd. 15, as may be amended from time to time. Officers should limit these displays, including but not limited to: showing only a portion of the video, showing only screen shots, muting the audio, or playing the audio but not displaying video, to protect against the incidental disclosure of individuals whose identities are not public.
- 9. Officers shall refer members of the media or public seeking access to BWC recorded data to the responsible authority/data practices designee, who will process the request in accordance with the MGDPA and other governing laws. Employees seeking access to BWC recorded data for non-business reasons may make a request for it in the same manner as any member of the public.
- 10. BWC digital data shall be made available to prosecutors, courts, and other criminal justice entities as provided by law.

3-18.8 DATA RELEASE REQUESTS

Minnesota State Statutes 13.825 classifies BWC recorded data as private data on individuals or nonpublic data. This agency may redact or withhold access to portions of data that are public under this subdivision if those portions of data are clearly offensive

to common sensibilities. BWC data is considered pubic under the following provisions of 13.825:

- A. Data that document the discharge of a firearm by a peace officer in the course of duty, if a notice is required under section 626.553, subdivision 2, or the use of force by a peace officer that results in substantial bodily harm, as defined in section 609.02, subdivision 7a.
- B. If a subject of the data requests it be made accessible to the public, but subject to redaction if the data contains:
 - a. Other data subjects that have not consented to the release.
 - b. Data contains images of a peace officer whose identity is protected under section 13.82, subdivision 17, clause (a).
- C. Data that are public personnel data under section 13.43, subdivision 2, clause (5).
- D. Data made public by an order of the Court.

3-18.9 AGENCY USE OF DATA

The following purposes are approved by the Chief of Police as having a legitimate and specified law enforcement purpose, for the access to the BWC recorded data as provided by Minnesota Statute 13.825, subd 7(b).

- A. Supervisors or other personnel as assigned by the Chief of Police or designee may access BWC data for the purposes of reviewing or investigating a specific incident that has given rise to a complaint or concern about officer misconduct or performance.
- B. Supervisors may randomly review BWC recordings made by officers to ensure the equipment is operating properly and officers are using the devices appropriately in accordance with this policy, and to identify any performance areas in which additional training or guidance is required. Such reviews will be maintained in a log, indicating the purpose of the review.
- C. Officers should contact their supervisor to discuss retaining and using BWC recorded data for training purposes. Officer objections to preserving or using certain BWC data for training will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

- D. Field training officers may review BWC recorded data, recorded by them or their trainee, with trainees for the purpose of providing coaching and feedback on the trainee's performance.
- E. Nothing in this policy limits or prohibits the use of BWC recorded data as evidence of misconduct or as a basis for discipline.

3-18.10 AUDITS

This agency will conduct an annual audit to check for the occurrence of unauthorized access to BWC recorded data. Randomized sampling may be utilized for this process, and statistical results of the audit will be reported to the city council.

This agency will conduct an independent audit on a biennial basis as required by Minnesota Statute 13.825, subd. 9, results of the independent audit will be reported to the city council.

3-18.11 VIOLATION OF POLICY

If an employee misuses the data covered by this policy or intentionally fails to comply with or violates this policy, it will be considered misconduct as covered by section 1-6.07 and such behavior may be grounds for disciplinary action up to and including discharge.

This report was prepared exclusively for the City of Cannon Falls Police Department by Michael Bowe, On-Site Computers Inc. The findings in this report are impartial and based on information and documentation provided and examined.

Dated: November 7, 2020

On-Site Computers, Inc.

Michael Bowe

Michael Bowe President On-Site Computers, Inc.

INDEPENDENT AUDIT REPORT

Chief Jeffrey McCormick Cannon Falls Police Department 918 River Rd. Cannon Falls, MN 55009

Dear Chief McCormick:

An independent audit of the Cannon Falls Police Department's Portable Recording System (body-worn cameras (BWCs)) was conducted on June 29, 2023. The objective of the audit was to verify Cannon Falls Police Department's compliance with Minnesota Statutes §§13.825 and 626.8473.

Data elements the audit includes:

Minnesota Statute §13.825

- Data Classification
- Retention of Data
- Access by Data Subjects
- Inventory of Portable Recording System Technology
- Use of Agency-Issued Portable Recording Systems
- Authorization to Access Data
- Sharing Among Agencies

Minnesota Statute §626.8473

- Public Comment
- Body-worn Camera Policy

The Cannon Falls Police Department is located in Goodhue County and employs seven (7) fulltime and four (4) part-time peace officers. The Cannon Falls Police Department utilizes WatchGuard V300 body-worn cameras and Evidence Library management software. BWC data is stored on a local file server. The audit covers the time period May 1, 2021, through June 28, 2023.

Audit Requirement: Data Classification

Determine if the data collected by BWCs are appropriately classified.

BWC data is presumptively private. All data collected by the Cannon Falls Police Department during the audit period, is classified as private or non-public data. The Cannon Falls Police Department had no instances of the discharge of a firearm by a peace officer in the course of duty, use of force by a peace officer that resulted in substantial bodily harm, requests from data subjects for the data to be made accessible to the public, or court orders directing the agency to release the BWC data to the public.

No discrepancies noted.

Audit Requirement: Retention of Data

Determine if the data collected by BWC's are appropriately retained and destroyed in accordance with statutes.

The Cannon Falls Police Department utilizes the General Records Retention Schedule for Minnesota Cities and agency specified retention periods in WatchGuard. At the conclusion of a BWC recording, a WatchGuard category type is assigned. Each WatchGuard category type has an associated retention period. Upon reaching the retention date, data is systematically deleted.

Server log reports of all active and purged BWC data collected during the audit period were produced. Random records from the server log reports were selected and the create date was verified against the purge date. All records were maintained or deleted in accordance with the record retention schedule. Deleted BWC video, meta data, and audit trails are not accessible in the WatchGuard Evidence Library.

Active BWC data is accessible in the WatchGuard Evidence Library. The server log maintains a listing of all active and deleted BWC data with associated meta data.

The Cannon Falls Police Department received a court order to retain BWC data beyond the applicable retention period. The retention period was reset when the conditions of the court order were met.

The Chief of Police and Lieutenant monitor BWC data for proper categorization to ensure BWC data are appropriately retained and destroyed.

No discrepancies noted.

Audit Requirement: Access by Data Subjects

Determine if individuals who are the subject of collected data have access to the data, and if the data subject requests a copy of the data, other individuals who do not consent to its release must be redacted.

BWC data is available to data subjects and access may be requested by submission of a Cannon Falls Police Department Information Disclosure Request form. During the audit period, the Cannon Falls Police Department had received both requests to view and requests for a copies of BWC data. Data subjects who had not consented to the release of data were redacted. Documentation of requests is maintained in the case file.

No discrepancies noted.

Audit Requirement: Inventory of Portable Recording System Technology

Determine the total number of recording devices owned and maintained by the agency; a daily record of the total number of recording devices actually deployed and used by officers, the policies and procedures for use of portable recording systems by required by section 626.8473;

and the total amount of recorded audio and video collected by the portable recording system and maintained by the agency, the agency's retention schedule for the data, the agency's procedures for destruction of the data, and that the data are available to the public.

Cannon Falls Police Department's BWC inventory consists of eight (8) devices. Device inventory is maintained in WatchGuard. Officers check out a BWC at the beginning of their shift.

The Cannon Falls Police Department has established and enforces a BWC policy that governs the use of portable recording systems by peace officers while in the performance of their duties. The policy requires officers to ensure the BWC is functioning properly and to place any non-properly functioning BWC out of service. Officers report the malfunction by notification to the department and by placing an out of service note on the BWC.

Peace officers were trained on the use of BWCs during in-service training at the time of implementation. New officers are trained as part of their field training program.

A review of randomly selected dates from the patrol schedule and randomly selected calls for service were verified against the Active and Purged Event Reports and confirmed that recording devices are being deployed and activated by officers. A comparison of BCW data collected quarterly and a comparison to calls for services shows a consistent collection of data.

The total amount of active BWC data is accessible in the WatchGuard Evidence Library. The total amount of active and deleted data is documented in the server Active and Purged Events Reports.

The Cannon Falls Police Department utilizes the General Records Retention Schedule for Minnesota Cities and agency specified retention in WatchGuard. BWC video is fully deleted from the local file server upon the scheduled deletion date. Meta data information is maintained on the server. BWC data is available upon request, and access may be requested by submission of a Cannon Falls Police Department Information Disclosure Request form.

No discrepancies noted.

Audit Requirement: Use of Agency-Issued Portable Recording Systems

Determine if peace officers are only allowed to use portable recording systems issued and maintained by the officer's agency.

The Cannon Falls Police Department's BWC policy states that officers shall only use department approved/issued BWC in the performance of official duties for this agency or when otherwise performing authorized law enforcement services as an employee of this department.

No discrepancies noted.

Audit Requirement: Authorization to Access Data

Determine if the agency complies with sections 13.05, Subd. 5, and 13.055 in the operation of portable recording systems and in maintaining portable recording system data.

The Chief of Police and Lieutenant conduct reviews of BWC data to ensure proper labeling and that BWCs are being used in compliance with policy.

Nonpublic BWC data is only available to persons who work assignment reasonably requires access to the data. User access to BWC data is managed by the assignment of group roles and permissions in WatchGuard. Permissions are based on staff work assignments. User access is administered by the Chief of Police and the Lieutenant.

The BWC policy governs access to and sharing of data. Agency personnel may access BWC data only when there is a business need for doing so. Agency personnel are prohibited from accessing BWC data for non-business reasons and from sharing the data for non-law-enforcement-related purposes. Access to data is captured in the audit log. The BWC policy states if an employee misuses the data covered by this policy or intentionally fails to comply with or violates this policy, it will be considered misconduct and such behavior may be grounds for disciplinary action up to and including discharge.

When BWC data is deleted from WatchGuard, its contents cannot be determined.

The Cannon Falls Police Department has had no security breaches. A BCA security audit was conducted in May of 2022.

No discrepancies noted.

Audit Requirement: Sharing Among Agencies

Determine if nonpublic BWC data is shared with other law enforcement agencies, government entities, or federal agencies.

The Cannon Falls Police Department's BWC policy allows for the sharing of data with other law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts and other criminal justice entities as provided by law. Agencies seeking access to BWC data submit a written request. Sharing of data is documented in WatchGuard Cloud Share and the written request is retained in the case file.

No discrepancies noted.

Audit Requirement: Biennial Audit

Determine if the agency maintains records showing the date and time the portable recording system data were collected, the applicable classification of the data, how the data are used, and

whether data are destroyed as required.

The WatchGuard Evidence Library and the server Active and Purged Event Reports document the date and time portable recording system data was collected and the retention period of the data. The WatchGuard Cloud Share report, the audit log, and the case file document how the data are used and shared.

No discrepancies noted.

Audit Requirement: Portable Recording System Vendor

Determine if portable recording system data stored in the cloud, is stored in accordance with security requirements of the United States Federal Bureau of Investigation Criminal Justice Information Services Division Security Policy 5.4 or its successor version.

Cannon Falls Police Department's BWC data is stored on a local file server. The server is located in a secure area, and access to the server is password protected.

No discrepancies noted.

Audit Requirement: Public Comment

Determine if the law enforcement agency provided an opportunity for public comment before it purchased or implemented a portable recording system and if the governing body with jurisdiction over the budget of the law enforcement agency provided an opportunity for public comment at a regularly scheduled meeting.

The Cannon Falls Police Department implemented BWCs in 2011 prior to the statutory requirement for public comment.

No discrepancies noted.

Audit Requirement: Body-worn Camera Policy

Determine if a written policy governing the use of portable recording systems has been established and is enforced.

The Cannon Falls Police Department has established and enforces a BWC policy. The policy was compared to the requirements of Minn. Stat. § 626.8473, and the policy includes all minimum requirements of Minn. Stat. § 626.8473. The BWC policy is posted on the agency's website.

No discrepancies noted.

This report was prepared exclusively for the City of Cannon Falls and Cannon Falls Police Department by Lynn Lembcke Consulting. The findings in this report are impartial and based on information and documentation provided and examined.

Dated: August 27, 2023

Lynn Lembcke Consulting

Lynn Lembcke

Lynn Lembcke

3-18 POLICE BODY WORN CAMERA

INDEX

- 3-18.1 DEFINITIONS
- 3-18.2 TRAINING
- 3-18.3 USAGE
- 3-18.4 ACTIVATION/DEACTIVATION
- 3-18.5 SPECIAL GUIDELINES OF RECORDING
- 3-18.6 DOWNLOADING / LABELING RECORDINGS
- 3-18.7 DATA STORAGE MEDIA CONTROL AND MANAGEMENT
- 3-18.8 DATA RELEASE REQUESTS
- 3-18.9 AGENCY USE OF DATA
- 3-18.10 AUDITS
- 3-18.11 VIOLATION OF POLICY

POLICY

This agency recognizes that Police Body Worn Cameras (BWC) is an effective law enforcement tool. Therefore, the policy of this agency will be to utilize BWC technology in a manner that enhances accountability and transparency for all involved in a police and citizen interaction. This policy does not apply to other police video recording equipment, which is covered by policy 3-5. BWC is only a slice of what was occurring at a given time and is a two-dimensional representation of a three-dimensional event. The BWC may not record all the information that was seen or heard by those involved in the event and is only one part of the documentation of an event where a full understanding of what occurred is needed.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this policy is to clearly establish for agency personnel the proper use of BWC technology to achieve the following:

- The primary purpose is to provide evidence collection of events, actions, conditions, and statements made during arrests, critical incidents, and other law enforcement activities.
- To enhance the agency's ability to provide accountability and transparency of officer and citizen interactions.
- To evaluate the performance of officers and to assist in training.

SCOPE

This policy applies to all sworn personnel and those civilian personnel assigned the responsibility of handling digital evidence or information releases.

STANDARDS

3-18.1 DEFINITIONS

<u>Body Worn Camera (BWC)</u> – A camera system that is worn on an individual officer's person that records and stores audio and video data.

<u>Confidential data</u> – BWC data that is collected or created as part of an active criminal investigation.

<u>Data Subject</u> – The image or voice of any person recorded by a BWC, except of the officer wearing the BWC that captured the data.

<u>Data Transfer</u> – The movement of digital data from a BWC device to the agency digital evidence storage location.

<u>Digital Evidence</u> – Digital data files from PVRE including BV, ICV, BWC or any other agency device capable of capturing audio, video, photographs and stored in a digital format that have an evidentiary value.

<u>Evidentiary Value</u> – Information that may be useful as proof in a criminal prosecution and related civil or administrative proceeding, further investigation of an actual or suspected criminal act, or in considering an allegation against a law enforcement agency or officer.

<u>General Citizen Contact</u> – A formal or informal encounter between an officer and person(s) that does not have an evidentiary value. Examples including, but not limited to: assisting a motorist with directions, answering general questions or receiving generalized concerns from a citizen about crime trends in his or her neighborhood.

Minnesota Government Data Practices Act (MGDPA) – Refers to Minnesota Statute 13.01, et seq.

Next of Kin - The following persons are proper relatives, in descending order: the deceased individual's spouse, parent, adult child, or adult sibling. Reference of definition, Minnesota Statute 253B.03 Subd 6 (b) (3).

<u>Non-general Citizen Contact</u> – Means an officers' encounter with a person(s) that becomes confrontational, during which at least one person expresses anger, resentment, or hostility toward the other, or at least one person directs toward the other verbal conduct consisting of arguing, threatening, challenging, swearing, yelling, or shouting. Encounters in which a citizen demands to be recorded or initiates recording on his or her own are deemed adversarial.

<u>Police Video Recording Equipment</u> (PVRE) – Equipment used to record video with or without audio.

<u>Unintentionally recorded footage</u> – Is a video recording that result from an officer's test activation, inadvertence or neglect in operating the officer's BWC, provided that no portion of the resulting recording has evidentiary or administrative value. Examples include, but are not limited to, recordings made in agency locker rooms, restrooms, and recordings made while officers were engaged in conversations of a non-business or personal nature with the expectation that the conversation was not being recorded.

3-18.2 TRAINING

The agency shall provide all employees responsible for the operation, handling and management of the BWC equipment and data files with training to ensure compliance with this policy.

3-18.3 USAGE

Officers shall only use department approved/issued BWC in the performance of official duties for this agency or when otherwise performing authorized law enforcement services as an employee of this department.

Officers assigned to a patrol shift will utilize a BWC during their work shift by checking out a camera from the BWC dock, unless none are available. If none are available or an officer forgets to remove a BWC before going on a call for service, the officer will advise Dispatch they do not have a BWC. Officers should ensure the BWC is working correctly and sync the BWC to the squad car they will be using during their shift.

If a BWC is found to not be working correctly it shall be placed out of service and notification sent to the department as to which BWC is out of service, as well as placing a note on the BWC.

Officers should ensure the BWC is worn in one of the approved locations.

- A. Approved BWC wear locations:
 - 1. On the vertical button edge of a uniform shirt or outer jacket.
 - 2. On a dedicated tab of a uniform shirt or outer jacket.
 - 3. On a dedicated tab located on outer body armor carrier.
 - 4. On the pocket of an outer body armor carrier.
 - 5. Other location submitted in writing based on specific circumstances to the Chief of Police or Designee with a written approval.

3-18.4 ACTIVATION/DEACTIVATION

A. Officers should activate their BWCs when anticipating that they will be involved in or witness other officers of this agency involved in a pursuit, Terry stop of a motorist or pedestrian, search, seizure, arrest, use of force, non-general contact, and during other activities likely to yield information having evidentiary value. However, officers need not activate their BWC when it would be unsafe, impossible, or impractical to do so, but such instances of not recording must be documented in the ICR and report, if a report is created. If the BWC fails during use, it must be documented in the ICR and report, if a report is created to include the reason for failure.

- B. Officers have discretion to record any police-citizen encounter regardless if the recording would yield information having evidentiary value, unless such recording is otherwise expressly prohibited.
- C. Officers have no affirmative duty to inform people that a BWC is being operated or that they are being recorded.
- D. Once activated, the BWC should continue recording until the conclusion of the event, or until it becomes apparent that additional recording is unlikely to capture information having evidentiary value or if the event would be recorded by another department PVRE system. The officer having charge of a scene shall likewise direct the discontinuance of recording when further recording is unlikely to capture additional information having evidentiary value. If circumstances change, officers will reactivate their cameras as required by this policy to capture information having evidentiary value.
- E. Officers shall not intentionally block the BWC's audio or visual recording functionality to defeat the purposes of this policy. However intentional blocking is acceptable if utilized to comply with section 3-18.5 B, Special Guidelines for Recording, where a temporary blocking would be more appropriate than stopping and starting the BWC.
- F. Officers shall not activate the BWC during events where undercover officers are known to be present without prior approval from the undercover officers or a department supervisor.
- G. Notwithstanding any other provision in this policy, officers shall not use their BWCs to record agency personnel during non-enforcement related activities, such as during pre- and post-shift time in locker rooms, during meal breaks, briefings, meetings or during other private conversations, unless recording is authorized by the Chief or Designee as part of an administrative or criminal investigation.

3-18.5 SPECIAL GUIDELINES OF RECORDING

Officers may, in the exercise of discretion, determine:

A. To use their BWC to take recorded statements from persons believed to be victims and witnesses of crimes, and persons suspected of committing crimes, considering the needs of the investigation and the circumstances pertaining to the victim, witness, or suspect.

- B. To use their BWC to record persons being provided medical care if the subject is aggressive towards others or force may be necessary to allow for providing medical care.
- C. To use their BWCs when dealing with individuals believed to be experiencing a mental health crisis or event. BWCs shall be activated as necessary to document any use of force, or anticipated need for use of force.
- D. If officers respond to a health care facility, mental health care facility, detox, juvenile detention center, or adult detention center for a call of assistance, they may record the event as covered under 3-18.5 A., but otherwise should not record in these facilities unless the officer anticipates witnessing a criminal event or being involved in or witnessing aggression or a use-of-force incident.
- E. Officers should avoid recording law enforcement restricted data on a BWC that may be in a verbal, written or electronic format. Examples including, but not limited to: computer screen or Driver's Licenses, school or medical information.

3-18.6 DOWNLOADING / LABELING RECORDINGS

- A. BWCs will be downloaded in the manner and to location specified during training.
- B. Recordings of known evidentiary value or use of force event or an event the officer believes should be retained longer will be labeled and stored as directed during training. These recordings should be listed in the officer's written report.
- C. All BWC recordings shall be stored as designated by agency configuration. BWC recordings will be labeled as designated during training.

3-18.7 DATA STORAGE MEDIA CONTROL AND MANAGEMENT

- A. Data Retention
 - All BWC data shall be retained for a minimum period of 90 days. There are no exceptions for unintentionally recorded or non-evidentiary data. BWC data not listed in provisions below is subject to destruction after 90 days from date of recording.

- 2. BWC data having evidentiary value shall be retained for the period specified in the Records Retention Schedule. When a particular recording is subject to multiple retention periods, it shall be maintained for the longest applicable period. BWC data will no longer be considered as evidentiary data if the charges are dismissed or 90 days after being sentenced for charges.
- 3. Data documenting the discharge of a firearm by a peace officer in the course of duty, other than for training or the killing of an animal that is sick, injured, or dangerous, must be maintained for a minimum period of one year.
- 4. Data that documents the use of deadly force by a peace officer must be maintained indefinitely.
- 5. Certain kinds of BWC data must be retained for six years:
 - a. Data that documents the use of force of a sufficient type or degree by a peace officer, to require supervisory review.
 - b. Data documenting circumstances that have given rise to a formal complaint against an officer.
- 6. Upon written request by a BWC data subject, the agency shall retain a recording pertaining to that subject for an additional time period requested by the subject of up to 180 days. The agency will notify the requestor at the time of the request that the data will then be destroyed unless a new written request is received.
- 7. BWC data that has a value for training purposes; may be reclassified as training data and subject to section 3-18.9. BWC data that are retained for training purposes, which no longer have evidentiary value, may be stored outside of the regularly used server. Such data is considered department data and may not be disseminated outside the department without prior approval.
- B. Digital Data Storage
 - 1. Officers shall only use agency designated digital data storage, as approved by the Chief of Police or designee.
 - 2. The City's Information Technology will determine the best method for backing up the data. If that method is an off-site, cloud-based system, they will ensure the data is encrypted and meets the requirements of the Criminal Justice Information Services, Policy 5.4 or successor version.
- C. Security/Control of Digital Data

- 1. Officers shall not edit, destroy, erase or in any manner alter BWC data unless otherwise expressly authorized by the Chief or the chief's designee.
- 2. Upon download from the BWC, data will be subject to the same security restrictions and chain of evidence safeguards as any other piece of evidence/property.
- 3. A copy of any BWC data will not be released to a person or agency, other than another criminal justice agency, without prior approval of the Chief of Police or his/her designee.
- 4. Personally owned devices, including but not limited to computers and mobile devices, shall not be programmed or used to access, view or record BWC data, without prior approval from the Chief of Police.
- 5. Access to BWC data from city approved devices shall be managed in accordance with established agency and/or city policy.
- 6. Agency personnel may access and view stored BWC data only when there is a business need for doing so, including the need to defend against an allegation of misconduct or substandard performance. Officers may review BWC data of an incident which they recorded, only for the purpose of preparing a report, giving a statement, or providing testimony about the incident.
- 7. Agency personnel are prohibited from accessing BWC data for nonbusiness reasons and from sharing the data for non-law enforcement related purposes, including but not limited to uploading BWC data recorded or maintained by this agency onto public and social media websites.
- 8. Officers may display portions of BWC data to witnesses as necessary for purposes of investigation as allowed by Minn. Stat. § 13.82, subd. 15, as may be amended from time to time. Officers should limit these displays, including but not limited to: showing only a portion of the video, showing only screen shots, muting the audio, or playing the audio but not displaying video, to protect against the incidental disclosure of individuals whose identities are not public.
- 9. Officers shall refer members of the media or public seeking access to BWC data to the responsible authority/data practices designee, who will process the request in accordance with the MGDPA and other governing laws. Employees seeking access to BWC data for non-business reasons may make a request for it in the same manner as any member of the public.
- 10. BWC digital data shall be made available to prosecutors, courts, and other criminal justice entities as provided by law.

3-18.8 DATA RELEASE REQUESTS

Minnesota State Statutes 13.825 classifies BWC recorded data as private data on individuals or nonpublic data. This agency may redact or withhold access to portions of data that are public under this subdivision if those portions of data are clearly offensive to common sensibilities. BWC data is considered public under the following provisions of 13.825:

- A. Data that document the discharge of a firearm by a peace officer in the course of duty, if a notice is required under section 626.553, subdivision 2, or the use of force by a peace officer that results in substantial bodily harm, as defined in section 609.02, subdivision 7a.
- B. If a subject of the data requests it be made accessible to the public, but subject to redaction if the data contains:
 - 1. Other data subjects that have not consented to the release.
 - 2. Data contains images of a peace officer whose identity is protected under section 13.82, subdivision 17, clause (a).
- C. Notwithstanding any law to the contrary, when an individual dies as a result of a use of force by a Cannon Falls Police Officer, the following individuals, upon their request, to inspect all portable recording system data, redacted no more than what is required by law, documenting the incident within five days of the request, except as otherwise provided for in 3-18.8 D below or by law.
 - 1. The deceased individual's next of kin;
 - 2. The legal representative of the deceased individual's next of kin
 - 3. The other parent of the deceased individual's child.

The Chief of Police may deny a request if the Department or Agency assisting in the investigation determines that there is a compelling reason that inspection would interfere with the active investigation. If denied access, the Chief of Police must provide a prompt, written denial to the individual who requested the data with a short description of the compelling reason access was denied and must provide notice that relief may be sought from the district court pursuant to section 13.82, subdivision 7.

D. When an individual dies as a result of a use of force by a Cannon Falls Police Officer, the Cannon Falls Police Department shall release all portable recording system data, redacted no more than what is required by law, documenting the incident no later than 14 days after the incident, unless the Chief of Police asserts in writing that the public classification would interfere with an ongoing investigation, in which case the data remain classified by section 13.82, subdivision 7;

- E. Data that are public personnel data under section 13.43, subdivision 2, clause (5).
- F. Data made public by an order of the Court.
- G. BWC data that is part of an active criminal investigation is confidential. _This classification takes precedence over the "private" or "public" classifications listed within this policy.

3-18.9 AGENCY USE OF DATA

The following purposes are approved by the Chief of Police as having a legitimate and specified law enforcement purpose, for the access to the BWC recorded data as provided by Minnesota Statute 13.825, subd 7(b).

- A. Supervisors or other personnel as assigned by the Chief of Police or designee may access BWC data for the purposes of reviewing or investigating a specific incident that has given rise to a complaint or concern about officer misconduct or performance.
- B. Supervisors may randomly review BWC recordings made by officers to ensure the equipment is operating properly and officers are using the devices appropriately in accordance with this policy, and to identify any performance areas in which additional training or guidance is required.
- C. Officers should contact their supervisor to discuss retaining and using BWC data for training purposes. Officer objections to preserving or using certain BWC data for training will be considered on a case-by-case basis.
- D. Field training officers may review BWC data, recorded by them or their trainee, with trainees for the purpose of training, coaching and feedback on the trainee's performance.
- E. Nothing in this policy limits or prohibits the use of BWC recorded data as evidence of misconduct or as a basis for discipline.
- F. May display portions of BWC footage to any person, agency, or the public if the agency determines that the access is allowed by Minn. Stat. § 13.82, subd. 15, as may be amended from time to time.

3-18.10 AUDITS

This agency will conduct an independent audit on a biennial basis as required by Minnesota Statute 13.825, subd. 9, results of the independent audit will be reported to the city council.

3-18.11 VIOLATION OF POLICY

If an employee misuses the data covered by this policy or intentionally fails to comply with or violates this policy, it will be considered misconduct as covered by section 1-6.07 and such behavior may be grounds for disciplinary action up to and including discharge.

Policy 3-18: Issued: 12/16, Issued 5/23, Issued 7/23